

**PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO**



**Michael Callagy, County Executive Officer  
Adam Ely, Deputy Executive Officer  
Judge Susan Etezadi, San Mateo Superior Court**

**Members**

- John T Keene**  
Chief Probation Officer
- Noelia Corzo**  
Board of Supervisors
- Rebecca Baum**  
District Attorney's Office
- Ziomara Ochoa**  
Behavioral Health  
and Recovery Services
- John Fong**  
Human Services Agency
- Ron Rayes**  
Private Defender's Office
- David Norris**  
Chief, Menlo Park  
Police Department
- Matthew Fox**  
Sheriff's Office
- Kris Shouse**  
County Office of  
Education
- Susan Manheimer**  
Community at-large
- Jane Smithson**  
Community at-large

**Nick Jasso**  
Youth Voice

**Monroe Labouisse**  
Juvenile Justice and  
Delinquency Prevention  
Commission

**Community Based  
Organization Members:**

**Ben Marks**  
StarVista

**Margaret Hitchcock**  
Y.M.C.A.

**Kate Hiester**  
Fresh Lifelines for Youth

**Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) Meeting**

Thursday, November 30, 2023  
12:00 p.m.- 2:00 p.m.  
222 Paul Scannell Drive, San Mateo  
Chief's Conference Room

**MEETING AGENDA**

<b>I. CALL TO ORDER</b>	John Keene
<b>II. PUBLIC COMMENTS</b>	John Keene
<b>III. ROLL CALL</b>	John Keene
<b>IV. UNFINISHED BUSINESS</b> a. Approval of Minutes from August 17, 2023	All
<b>V. NEW BUSINESS</b> a. Probation Update b. Budget Update - None c. JPCF/JJCPA & YOBG/JJRBG Comprehensive Annual Report Presentation	John Keene Hong Liu Kim Gillette-ASR
<b>VI. ADJOURNMENT</b>	John Keene

**2024 JJCC MEETING SCHEDULE (In-Person & Hybrid)**

- Thursday, February 22, 2024
- Thursday, May 23, 2024
- Thursday, August 22, 2024
- Wednesday, November 20, 2024

MEETINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE TO PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. INDIVIDUALS WHO NEED SPECIAL ASSISTANCE OR A DISABILITY-RELATED MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION (INCLUDING AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES) TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, OR WHO HAVE A DISABILITY AND WISH TO REQUEST AN ALTERNATIVE FORMAT FOR THE AGENDA, MEETING NOTICE, AGENDA PACKET OR OTHER WRITINGS THAT MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AT THE MEETING, SHOULD CONTACT YANITXA ALBINO AT LEAST 72 HOURS BEFORE THE MEETING AT: (650) 400-3151 or yalbino@smcgov.org. NOTIFICATION IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING WILL ENABLE THE COUNTY TO MAKE REASONABLE ARRANGEMENTS TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO THIS MEETING AND THE MATERIALS RELATED TO IT. ATTENDEES TO THIS MEETING ARE REMINDED THAT OTHER ATTENDEES MAY BE SENSITIVE TO VARIOUS CHEMICAL BASED PRODUCTS.

**If you wish to speak to the Committee, please fill out a speaker's slip. If you have anything that you wish distributed to the Committee and included in the official record, please hand it to Yanitxa Albino, who will distribute the information to the committee members.**



## San Mateo County Juvenile Services & Institutions Data

### Juvenile Services

CASELOADS	FY 22-23	FY 22-23	Q1 FY 23-24	Q1 FY 23-24
Average Diversion/Court	177	57%	226	58%
Average Supervision	131	43%	162	42%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>100%</b>

RACE/ETHNICITY	6/30/2023	6/30/2023	9/29/2023	9/29/2023
Asian	8	3%	14	4%
Black	33	12%	54	14%
Hispanic/Latino	184	66%	235	59%
Native American or Pacific Islander	22	8%	21	5%
White	3	1%	43	11%
Other or Unknown	27	10%	31	8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>100%</b>

GENDER	6/30/2023	6/30/2023	9/29/2023	9/29/2023
Female	55	20%	90	23%
Male	221	80%	308	77%
Transgender	1	0%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>100%</b>

CITY OF RESIDENCE	6/30/2023	6/30/2023	9/29/2023	9/29/2023
Belmont	3	1%	7	2%
Brisbane	1	0%	0	0%
Burlingame	5	2%	7	2%
Colma	3	1%	1	0%
Daly City	36	13%	41	10%
East Palo Alto	29	10%	31	8%
El Granada	0	0%	1	0%
Foster City	2	1%	3	1%
Half Moon Bay	10	4%	12	3%
Menlo Park	9	3%	15	4%
Millbrae	5	2%	10	3%
Montara	0	0%	0	0%
Moss Beach	3	1%	6	2%
Pacifica	10	4%	15	4%
Pescadero	0	0%	1	0%
Portola Valley	1	0%	1	0%
Redwood City	47	17%	61	15%
San Bruno	15	5%	30	8%
San Carlos	1	0%	2	1%
San Mateo	33	12%	55	14%
South San Francisco	18	6%	23	6%
Woodside	0	0%	3	1%
Out of County	45	16%	70	18%
Unknown	1	0%	3	1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Institutions**  
**Youth Services Center-Juvenile Hall/Camp Kemp**

<b>YSC-JUVENILE HALL</b>		<b>FY 22-23</b>		<b>Q1 FY 23-24</b>	
<b>Total Number of Bookings</b>		258		71 (59 unique)	
In-County Youth <sup>1</sup>		-		45 (35 unique)	
Out-of-County Youth <sup>1</sup>		-		25 (25 unique)	
Average Age at Booking <sup>1</sup>		-		16 Years Old	
<b>Race/Ethnicity of Bookings</b>		<b>FY 22-23</b>		<b>Q1 FY 23-24</b>	
Hispanic <sup>1</sup>		-		38	64%
Black <sup>1</sup>		-		18	31%
Other Race <sup>1</sup>		-		2	3%
White <sup>1</sup>		-		1	2%
Total <sup>1</sup>		-		59	100%
<b>Total Number of Releases</b>		252		66	
Average Length of Stay <sup>2</sup>		25 days		12 days	
Median Length of Stay <sup>2</sup>		6 days		4 days	
<b>Average Daily Population</b>		24		19	
YSC-JH		23		18	
SOARR (SYTF)		1		1	
Pre-Disposition		16		13	
Post-Disposition		6		5	
<b>Offense Level (Monthly Average)<sup>3</sup></b>		<b>FY 22-23</b>		<b>Q1 FY 23-24</b>	
Felony		21	91%	16	89%
Misdemeanor		2	9%	3	11%
<b>Race/Ethnicity Snapshot (YSC-JH Pop)</b>		<b>6/30/2023</b>		<b>9/29/2023</b>	
Hispanic		14	78%	15	79%
Black		1	6%	2	11%
Asian		1	6%	-	-
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		2	11%	1	5%
Other Race		-	-	1	5%
<b>CAMP KEMP</b>		<b>FY 22-23</b>		<b>Q1 FY 23-24</b>	
<b>Total Number of Commitments</b>		5		0	
Total Number of Releases		6		0	
Average Length of Stay		214 days		n/a	
Average Daily Population		4		2	
Average Daily Phase II Population		3		0	
<b>ELECTRONIC MONITORING (EMP)</b>		<b>FY 22-23</b>		<b>Q1 FY 23-24</b>	
Total Number of Referrals		89		16	
Average Daily Active Cases		16		9	
Total Number of EMP Violations		19		3	
Average Length of Time on EMP <sup>4</sup>		77 days		45 days	
<b>ALCOHOL MONITORING (CAM)</b>		<b>FY 22-23</b>		<b>Q1 FY 23-24</b>	
Total Number of Referrals		6		1	
Average Daily Active Cases		1		0	
Total Number of CAM Violations		1		0	
Average Length of Time on CAM <sup>4</sup>		41 days		n/a	

1. Cumulative booking demographic data is difficult to extract prior to FY 23-24. This data will be easier to pull going forward.
2. Based on releases during the period.
3. Based on monthly snapshots reported to the Board of State and Community Corrections.
4. Based on EMP/CAM completions during the period.



# COMPREHENSIVE JJCPA, JPCF, YOBG, & JJRBG ANNUAL REPORT

FY 2022-23

Presentation to JJCC


November 30, 2023



# Agenda

- Evaluation Plan
- Services Provided
- Client Demographic Profile
- Client Strengths and Needs
  - JAIS – Criminogenic Risk
  - Mental Health Assessments
  - CANS – Strengths and Needs
  - OYAS – Strengths and Needs
- Outcomes
  - CANS – Outcomes
  - Justice Outcomes
  - Program Outcomes
- LAP Progress Updates

# Evaluation Plan



# Services Provided JPCF, JJCPA, YOYBG, & JJRBG

	Individual Mentoring/ Counseling	Group Mentoring/ Counseling/ Education	Case Management	Parent Education/ Counseling	Outreach	Job Readiness
Acknowledge Alliance	◆	◆				
Art of Yoga (AOY)		■				
Boys & Girls Clubs of the Peninsula (BGCP)	●	●				
Family Preservation Program (FPP)	◆		◆	◆		
Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)	● ◆ ■	● ◆ ■ ▽	● ◆ ■ ▽		◆	
Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit (JUV SVCS CRT/DIV)	◆		◆	◆	◆	
Mind Body Awareness (MBA)	■	■				
StarVista	● ◆	● ◆		● ◆	●	
Success Centers	● ■	● ■	●			● ■
YMCA	●	● ▽	●	●	●	


# Client Demographic Profile





# Number of Youths Served


■ FY 18-19 ■ FY 19-20 ■ FY 20-21 ■ FY 21-22 ■ FY 22-23



\*YOBG/JJRBG data was not collected or analyzed by ASR in previous fiscal years.


# Client Service Duration and Dosage

■ FY 18-19  
 ■ FY 19-20  
 ■ FY 20-21  
 ■ FY 21-22  
 ■ FY 22-23  
**Average Number of Months**




JPCF n=840; JJCPA n=414; YOBG n=307; JJRBG n=7

**Average Number of Hours**



JPCF n=834; JJCPA n=280; YOBG n=306; JJRBG n=7

# Race/Ethnicity of Youths Served




In FY 2022-23, about **65%** of youths identified as Hispanic/Latino for JJCPA, JPCF, and YOBG.

JPCF n=620; JJCPA n=397; YOBG n=229; JJRBG is not reported due to too few youths.

# Age and Gender of Youths


In FY 2022-23, JJCPA and YOBG funded programs continued to support somewhat older youth than JPCF. YOBG had higher proportion of male youths than the other funding streams.

### Average Age



JPCF n=804; JJCPA n=408; YOBG n=260


### Gender




JPCF n=804; JJCPA n=408; YOBG n=260

# Geographic Distribution of JPCF & JJCPA Youths Served

Youths by Region	Number	Percent
North	434	39.7%
Coast	84	7.7%
Mid	174	15.9%
South	400	36.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,092</b>	<b>100%</b>



# Average Daily Population by Month




## Overall Averages

- **YSC-JH: 23 youths**
- **Camp Kemp: 4 youths**

# Client Risk Factors at Entry to Services

# J AIS Criminogenic Risk Scores




Total n=455, JJCPA n=78, JPCF n=377. Nine of the 11 programs provided initial JAIS Boys Risk or JAIS Girls Risk assessment results. Juvenile Services CRT/DIV Unit provided initial JAIS Risk and JAIS Assessment data. FPP provided JAIS Reassessment data.




# Other Risk Indicators at Entry for JJCPA


Percentage of Youths Identified with an Alcohol or Drug Problem



Percentage of Youths Identified with an Attendance Problem



Percentage of Youths Identified with a Suspension/Expulsion




# **YOBG Mental Health Assessments**



# Mental Health Assessments

**53** mental health assessments were performed averaging **7** mental health assessments each month.



Source: Data was collected by a BHRS clinician. Note: n=53. Sample includes those youths who received a MH assessment and whose records were not blocked, sealed, were not out-of-county, or who did not refuse assessment.

# Youths' Experience with Abuse/Neglect

	GENERAL	EMOTIONAL	PHYSICAL	SEXUAL	% DIAGNOSED
Single Form	√				3%
		√			3%
			√		6%
<b>TOTAL SINGLE FORM</b>					<b>11%</b>
Two Forms		√		√	3%
<b>TOTAL TWO FORMS</b>					<b>3%</b>
Three Forms	√	√		√	3%
<b>TOTAL THREE FORMS</b>					<b>3%</b>
<b>Any Form</b>					<b>17%</b>

**17%** of youths with a mental health assessment reported having experienced some form of abuse or neglect.

Source: Data was collected by a BHRS clinician. Note: n=35 (Missing n=16; Unsure n=2). Sample includes those youths who received a MH assessment and whose records were not blocked, sealed, were not out-of-county, or who did not refuse assessment.


# Incident Reports in Juvenile Hall

Incident Description	N	%
Use of Force/Mechanical Restraints Used by Incident	14	33%
Assault Incidents between Youth	7	16%
Suicidal Statement	6	14%
Self-Harm	5	12%
Property Destruction	3	7%
Threats to Staff or Staff Injury	2	5%
Staff Injuries while Responding	2	5%
Safety Room Placements	1	2%
Assault on Staff by Youth	1	2%
Charges Filed	1	2%
Suicidal Attempts	1	2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>100%</b>

# Client Strengths and Needs: CANS




# Child/Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS)



# Percentage of JPCF Youths with Each Strength at Baseline

The average number of strengths per youth was **4.2**.




*n=227-230 except for items marked with (\*) indicating  $n=67-68$ . Items are ordered from smallest to largest strength-building need from top to bottom. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.*




# Percentage of JJCPA Youths with Each Strength at Baseline

The average number of strengths per youth was **9.1**.



*n=96-101 except for items marked with (\*) indicating n=26-28. Items are ordered from smallest to largest strength-building need from top to bottom. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.*

# Percentage of Youths with Three or More Actionable Needs at Baseline from CANS



FY 2018-19 n=741, FY 2019-20 n=604, FY 2020-21 n=388, FY 2021-22 n=384, FY 2022-23 n=332.


## By Funding Stream in FY 2022-23:

- 68% for JJCPA
- 18% for JPCF

**NEW!**


# **Client Strengths and Needs: OYAS**

# Youth Barriers and Strengths by OYAS Domain




Source: OYAS-DIS assessment. n=56-57.

# Youth Barriers and Strengths by OYAS Domain cont.



Source: OYAS-DIS assessment. n=56-57.


# Youth Barriers and Strengths by OYAS Domain cont.



Source: OYAS-DIS assessment. n=56-57.

# Client Outcomes: CANS


# Change over Time in Percentage of JPCF Youth with Actionable Needs by CANS Module



Life Functioning n=221, Behavioral/Emotional Needs n=222, School n=188, Caregiver Strengths and Needs n=222, Acculturation n=222, Risk Behaviors n=222, Adjustment to Trauma n=222, Juvenile Justice n=222, and Substance Use n=222. \*Results include a combination of core and secondary module items. Note: Circles indicate significant decreases from baseline to follow-up assessment ( $p < .05$ ).



# Change over Time in Percentage of JJCPA Youths with Actionable Needs by CANS Module



*Life Functioning n=85, Behavioral/Emotional Needs n=88, Life Functioning (TAY) n=62, Adjustment to Trauma n=88, Caregiver Strengths and Needs n=75, Acculturation n=71, Risk Behaviors n=88, Juvenile Justice n=88, Substance Use n=87. \*Results include a combination of core and secondary module items. Note: Circles indicate significant decreases from baseline to follow-up assessment ( $p < .05$ ). The School secondary module is not included due to too few matched baseline and follow-up assessments ( $n=11$ ).*


**Client Outcomes:  
Justice Outcomes**




# Justice Outcomes (JJCPA)

The overall percentage and number of youths with **new law violations** continued to decrease from its peak in FY 2019-20.

Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation



Youths with a Probation Violation



Note: FY 2022-23 Total n=145; n=3 for Youths Arrested with a New Law Violation; Total Youths on Probation n=20; n=6 for Youths with a Probation Violation.

**Client Outcomes:  
Program Outcomes**



# Program-Specific Outcomes

## Acknowledge Alliance

- Average GAF score increased **21%** from pre- to post-test for Transition School Program and **15%** for Youth Development Program
- **85%** of youths in the Transition program reported that counseling helped them make positive choices

## Boys & Girls Clubs of the Peninsula

- **88%** of youth were retained in the program
- **75%** of youth reported developing positive relationships in the program

## Family Preservation Program

- **0** youth received an out-of-home placement order

# Program-Specific Outcomes continued

## Fresh Lifelines for Youth

- Exceeded all the new JJRBG goals. **100%** of youths report that they:
  - Have greater confidence to deal with negative peer pressure
  - Have hope for the future
  - Are less likely to break the law

## StarVista

- **Parenting Support Group: 100%** of parents reported an improvement in their relationship with their child
- **VIA: 100%** of youth who completed the program demonstrated an increased understanding of the impact of their criminal behavior

# Program-Specific Outcomes continued

## Success Centers

- **27** employer spotlights throughout the year
- **90%** of youths improved soft/hard skills

## YMCA

- Exceeded all the new JJRBG goals. **100%** of youths:
  - with prior sexual offenses did not re-offend
  - without prior sexual offenses improved knowledge of boundaries, consent, relationship skills and problematic sexual behavior

# Youth and Staff Surveys

Probation staff administered a semi-annual survey to youth and staff to obtain feedback on YOBG and other funded services and programs.



## ***Youth Survey highlight:***

- More than **87%** of youths rated **FLY** YOBG programs as extremely or very helpful



# Youth Success Story

**Program:** FLY (JPCF-funded)

**Age, gender:** 17, female

**Services:** She completed FLY Law Program and began FLY Leadership Program and participated in case management services

**Initial behavior:** Youth was unsure who she could trust, felt pessimistic about her future, and her clothing signaled gang affiliation

**Outcome:** Youth took initiative to help other youth, she stopped wearing gang colors, and learned she is capable of accomplishing anything she puts her mind and heart into



# LAP Progress Updates

# LAP Progress Highlights from FY 2022-2023

PRIORITIES	FY 2022-23 HIGHLIGHTS
<b>I. BEHAVIORAL HEALTH</b>	
<b>Mental Health</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acknowledge Alliance, StarVista, and YMCA provide on-campus therapy sessions.</li> <li>• StarVista and YMCA provide education and therapy for substance use and both provide family counseling.</li> <li>• CANS assessment results suggest that programs are lessening or ameliorating psychosocial needs for many JJCPA- and some JPCF-funded youths.</li> </ul>
<b>Substance Use</b>	
<b>Trauma-Specific</b>	
<b>School-Based Counseling</b>	
<b>Family Therapy</b>	
<b>2. POSITIVE PATHWAYS FOR YOUTH</b>	
<b>Prosocial Opportunities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• BGCP, FLY, and Success Centers use curriculum and interventions that focus on building and boosting youths' strengths and developmental assets.</li> <li>• CANS data suggest that many youths increased internal, relational, and community-based assets while receiving funded services and that programs are lessening or ameliorating issues around school achievement and attendance for some JPCF-funded youths.</li> <li>• San Mateo County Probation continues to partner with Alliance for Hope International to prioritize making probation a hope-centered department.</li> </ul>
<b>Mentorship</b>	
<b>School Engagement</b>	
<b>Technical &amp; Career Training</b>	
<b>Innovation in Juvenile Justice</b>	
<b>Re-entry Support</b>	

# LAP Progress Highlights from FY 2022-2023 continued

PRIORITIES	FY 2022-23 HIGHLIGHTS
<b>3. PARENT EDUCATION AND SUPPORT</b>	
<b>Family Engagement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledge Alliance, FPP, StarVista, and YMCA all engage families in their programs.</li> <li>StarVista Insights began providing a parenting support group.</li> </ul>
<b>Parenting Skills</b>	
<b>4. ACCESS TO EFFECTIVE SERVICES</b>	
<b>Barriers to Access Services</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CBOs and trained staff employ practices and interventions responsive to youth's cultural and linguistic needs.</li> <li>Probation transitioned to implement the Ohio Youth Assessment System (OYAS), as a more culturally responsive risk and needs assessment tool, to identify the strengths and barriers of youths and families.</li> </ul>
<b>Culturally &amp; Linguistically Responsive Services</b>	
<b>Program Quality &amp; Sustainability</b>	

# LAP Progress Highlights from FY 2022-2023 continued

PRIORITIES	FY 2022-23 HIGHLIGHTS
<b>5. ALIGNMENT AND COORDINATION OF SERVICES</b>	
<b>Align &amp; Coordinate Services</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Interagency collaboration between Probation's Court and Diversion Unit and the Human Services Agency's Children and Family Services (CFS), where diversion and early intervention programs are provided.</li><li>• Probation provided 23 trauma-informed trainings to Group Supervisors (GSs) and Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs).</li></ul>
<b>Prevention &amp; Early Intervention System</b>	
<b>Trauma-Informed</b>	

# Thank you!

**Kim Gillette: [Gillette@appliedsurveyresearch.org](mailto:Gillette@appliedsurveyresearch.org)**

**Kim Carpenter: [Kim@appliedsurveyresearch.org](mailto:Kim@appliedsurveyresearch.org)**

**Connie Chu: [Connie@appliedsurveyresearch.org](mailto:Connie@appliedsurveyresearch.org)**