

Amy Ow

From: Camille Leung
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:02 AM
To: Jessica Henderson-McBean
Cc: Kristen Outten; Amy Ow
Subject: Re: Lot 11 - Pre-Site Inspection 6/10/20

Hi Jessie,

Thanks for the update! Please see my responses below:

We confirmed that they have all been protected, however there is one tree on the northeast corner which is protected with orange snow fencing, but is also proposed for removal. If NextGen is planning to retain this tree, then I don't see a problem with overprotecting the trees. Have you received a copy of the arborists report for Lot 11? -- We do not have an arborist report for Lot 11. We can get one if we need one, say for example, the situation with the 2 pines on the left side, we can request an arborist report if large root masses or roots over 2" in diameter will be cut. I will communicate this to Bob.

Although Tay and Alex performed their nesting bird survey, woodrat nest survey, and CRLF survey, Noel stated that they may not begin work on this site for another 4-6 weeks. Therefore, an additional biological report may be required if . In addition, I reminded Noel that a CRLF survey needs to be conduct immediately prior to ground disturbance so NextGen will need to coordinate their work with their biologists.---- Ok thanks

I noted a couple of issues to be addressed on Lot 11:

1. The BMPs were installed on Lot 11 months ago, and some of the silt fence onsite has become torn. Most of the silt fence has fallen down along the south side (where the riparian zone is located). I told Noel that they would need to replace or repair the silt fencing onsite, as per the erosion control plan in the Civil Drawings.----Yes
2. No stabilized construction entrance has been installed. On Lots 9 and 10 I believe NextGen installed the stabilized entrance after the grading permit was in hand, but I wanted to ask you when you would like to have the construction entrance installed on Lot 11? It is a requirement of the erosion control plan to maintain a stabilized construction entrance, but it may make sense to require this to be the first stage of active ground disturbance onsite.---- I will defer to you on this regarding compliance with bio mitigation measures and what makes sense logistically with machinery needed to dig out the entrance for Next Gen.

Please let me know when they have made the corrections and passed the presite inspection and met all the bio survey requirements. Thanks!

From: Jessica Henderson-McBean <JHenderson-McBean@swca.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 7:51 PM
To: Camille Leung <cleung@smcgov.org>
Cc: Kristen Outten <koutten@swca.com>; Amy Ow <aow@smcgov.org>
Subject: Lot 11 - Pre-Site Inspection 6/10/20

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hi Camille,

I met Tay, Alex, and Noel at Lot 11 today for the pre-site inspection. We spent some time going over the Civil Plans together and confirming that all trees proposed for protection, have been protected with orange snow fencing per the tree protection plan. We confirmed that they have all been protected, however there is one tree on the northeast corner which is protected with orange snow fencing, but is also proposed for removal. If NextGen is planning to retain this tree, then I don't see a problem with overprotecting the trees. Have you received a copy of the arborists report for Lot 11? It would be handy to take a look at the report to track the tree protection, and compare the tree tags numbers to the civil drawings.

Although Tay and Alex performed their nesting bird survey, woodrat nest survey, and CRLF survey, Noel stated that they may not begin work on this site for another 4-6 weeks. Therefore, an additional biological report may be required if . In addition, I reminded Noel that a CRLF survey needs to be conducted immediately prior to ground disturbance so NextGen will need to coordinate their work with their biologists.

I noted a couple of issues to be addressed on Lot 11:

1. The BMPs were installed on Lot 11 months ago, and some of the silt fence onsite has become torn. Most of the silt fence has fallen down along the south side (where the riparian zone is located). I told Noel that they would need to replace or repair the silt fencing onsite, as per the erosion control plan in the Civil Drawings.
2. No stabilized construction entrance has been installed. On Lots 9 and 10 I believe NextGen installed the stabilized entrance after the grading permit was in hand, but I wanted to ask you when you would like to have the construction entrance installed on Lot 11? It is a requirement of the erosion control plan to maintain a stabilized construction entrance, but it may make sense to require this to be the first stage of active ground disturbance onsite.

I discussed the silt fence repair, and the potential for additional biological surveys with Noel.

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss the results of the pre-site inspection!

Thanks,

Jessie Henderson-McBean
Biologist

SWCA Environmental Consultants
60 Stone Pine Road, Suite 100
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019
P 650.440.4160 x 6410 | C 805.712.8794



The contents of this email and any associated emails, information, and attachments are CONFIDENTIAL. Use or disclosure without sender's authorization is prohibited. If you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender and then immediately delete the email and any attachments.